CLIFF BENTZ Second District, Oregon

Washington D.C. Office: 409 Cannon House Office Building Washington, D.C. 20515 Tel: (202) 225–6730 Fax: (202) 225–5774

DISTRICT OFFICES: 14 N CENTRAL AVENUE, SUITE 112 MEDFORD, OR 97501 TEL: (541) 776-4646 FAX: (541) 779-0204

2430 SW 4TH AVENUE, SUITE 2 ONTARIO, OR 97914 TEL: (541) 709–2040



## CONGRESS OF THE UNITED STATES House of Representatives Washington, D.C. 20515

March 11, 2025

The Honorable Kristi Noem Secretary of Homeland Security Department of Homeland Security 650 Massachusetts Avenue NW Washington, DC 20001

Dear Secretary Noem,

I write to raise concerns over the attempts of the Federal Emergency Management Agency (FEMA) to make changes to how the National Flood Insurance Program (NFIP) is implemented in the state of Oregon. These changes would fundamentally change the nature of the NFIP and potentially cause great harm to many communities across Oregon.

Since 2009, environmental groups have been pressuring FEMA to dramatically change the function of the NFIP in Oregon. Submitting to this pressure, in 2010, as part of a settlement with environmental groups, FEMA agreed to consult regarding effects of the NFIP in Oregon on threatened and endangered species and designated critical habitat. In 2016, the National Marine Fisheries Service (NMFS) issued the Oregon NFIP Biological Opinion (Bi-Op). This Bi-Op concluded that FEMA's implementation of the NFIP in Oregon jeopardizes the continued existence of 16 Endangered Species Act (ESA) listed fish species and Southern Resident killer whales.

As a result of this Bi-Op, FEMA agreed to implement draconian measures to mitigate the "impact" of their actions on endangered species in Oregon. This regulatory insanity is completely at odds with the purpose of the NFIP and the function of the ESA. Under Section 7 of the ESA, federal agencies must use their authorities to conserve endangered and threatened species and consult with the Fish and Wildlife Service or NOAA fisheries to meet this goal. However, this is <u>not</u> a universal requirement. According to 16 U.S.C. §1536(a), the consultation obligation is only triggered for discretionary actions that a federal agency <u>may</u> take. Consultation provisions under the ESA do not apply to actions that a federal agency <u>must</u> take pursuant to law. For example, certain groups have attempted to apply ESA's consultation provisions as a means to force localities to change land use laws to make them function as a means of "protecting" certain species.

The NFIP is an insurance program, created to help mitigate the costs of flood damage. It is not, and was never intended to be, a land management tool. I respectfully request that your agency

HOUSE NATURAL RESOURCES COMMITTEE CHAIRMAN SUBCOMMITTEE ON WATER, WILDLIFE,

AND FISHERIES SUBCOMMITTEE ON FEDERAL LANDS

HOUSE JUDICIARY COMMITTEE

SUBCOMMITTEE ON THE ADMINISTRATIVE STATE, REGULATORY REFORM, AND ANTITRUST

> SUBCOMMITTEE ON COURTS, INTELLECTUAL PROPERTY, AND THE INTERNET

restore the NFIP in Oregon to its proper purpose and stop the harmful changes that are being attempted. Specifically, I ask that your agency:

- Stop all changes to the NFIP in Oregon that have resulted from the 2016 Biological Opinion.
- Immediately release local communities from all obligations imposed by FEMA as a result of attempts to change the NFIP in Oregon.
- Reevaluate the implementation process of the 2016 Biological Opinion and instruct FEMA to coordinate with the State of Oregon and local communities to develop a more feasible plan that is consistent with state and local land use laws.

Sincerely,

Cours

Cliff Bentz Member of Congress